

HASHOMER ALARM SYSTEMS, INC.

COMMERCIAL-RESIDENTIAL

29 ROBERT PITT DRIVE, SUITE 101, MONSEY, NY 10952

845-425-0104 718-851-2097

PERETZKLEIN

President

FAX: 845-371-2477

peretzklein@yahoo.com

March 30, 2016

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

RE: USAC Administrator's Decision on Appeal for Funding Year 2010-2011
Schools and Libraries Program: CC Docket No. 02-6

Form 471 Application Number:	755937
Funding Request Numbers:	2042798, 2042817, 2042834
Service Provider ID Number (SPIN):	143009508
Service Provider Contact:	Peretz Klein
Applicant Name:	Be'er Hagolah Institutes
Billed Entity Number:	198310
Notification of COMAD Letter dated:	January 29, 2016
Letter of Appeal to USAC dated:	February 4, 2016
Administrator's Decision on Appeal dated:	March 14, 2016
Demand Payment Letter dated:	March 30, 2016

I am writing to appeal to you USAC's decision with regard to the above-mention FRNs. We have appealed the Commitment Adjustment Decision (COMAD) that was sent to us in January to USAC. However, since our appeal resulted in a denial from USAC, we are now turning to the FCC to appeal this decision which we feel is wrongful on USAC's part. We hope to be granted a positive decision from the FCC based on the information included in this appeal. The Appeal is as follows:

Below we have responded to the specific claims of the COMAD notification we received which calls for the FRN referred to above to be reduced. The goal of our appeal is to respond to the claims contained in the COMAD so that the FCC shall decide to reverse USAC's decision of denial. In addition to this, however, we hope to use this appeal as a means to provide information that will persuade the FCC to correct what we understand to be a serious error on USAC's part in their conclusion that the Bogen Multicom System is an ineligible product.

The impact of this decision will be to deprive the schools and libraries that participate in the Schools and Library program from having access to an extremely well respected, dependable, feature rich and cost effective communication system. In addition, if the COMADS are to remain, it will be placing an extreme hardship on Service Providers who acted in good faith selling a product that was deemed eligible and was in fact approved for funding for over 13

years prior to this action. Furthermore, there will be a high cost to the schools in that many of the schools and libraries that are affected by the COMADS will be forced to replace the Bogen Multicom by expanding their PBX or adding a second PBX, either of which will likely be at a much higher price than the cost of the Bogen Multicom.

The Bogen Multicom is a very robust system which was designed to provide a very wide spectrum of communication options all specifically designed for schools and similar facilities. Like many other products that have a broad scope of options and features, the Bogen Multicom can potentially be configured in such a way as to make it partly or even possibly wholly ineligible. However, the design and configuration used by our company is one that, based on our understanding of the program rules, is/was eligible.

Based on information gathered from discussions with other service providers and several consultants, we are under the impression that misinformation and undue emphasis on the *potentially* ineligible configuration of the Bogen Multicom has led USAC to the false conclusion that the Bogen Multicom would be considered an ineligible product. We hope to help correct that perspective and we offer our assistance in providing any additional information needed to help clarify the FCC's understanding of this product. We have been assured by Bogen that they are willing to meet with USAC and provide any information and/or provide a system demonstration in order to help correct any wrong impression USAC may have of the Bogen Multicom so as to reverse its current opinion.

Configuration:

The basic idea behind our PBX design for schools and libraries is to use the most appropriate and cost effective product for each different type of application. In keeping with this idea we utilize a "primary" PBX which provides the capability for advanced multiline telephone instruments, IP Telephones, voicemail and standard and advanced telephone line interface such as PRI or SIP Trunks. For the areas of the school or library that require standard telephone service (single line phones), particularly where more durable wall mounted phones are needed, we use the Bogen Multicom. The Bogen Multicom and the "primary" PBX are integrated in such a fashion that they are effectively one system; station-to-station calls and calls to the public switched network flow freely between the two systems. Most users do not even realize that there are actually two integrated systems. The cost of utilizing the two systems will typically be similar to (or lesser than) the cost of adding the additional capacity to the "primary" PBX, so there is no issue of cost effectiveness. Even in a situation where the cost may be slightly higher, the benefit of a more rugged system, which was specifically designed for school and in particular classroom use, adds additional value. In addition, the higher reliability combined with the fact that the cost of the system component cards and in particular the station cards for the Bogen Multicom are typically less expensive than those of the "primary" PBX makes maintenance and replacement costs lower, further enhancing the cost effectiveness objective. Although the design as described above is typically how we utilize the Bogen Multicom, it should be noted that telephone lines can be connected directly to the Bogen Multicom enabling

access to the public switched network without requiring a “primary” PBX. It is the combination of the two systems however that provides the best and most cost effective solution for the school’s over all telephony requirements.

Potential Ineligible Designs:

Although it is constantly being upgraded (it now supports VoIP phones and multi-system networking) the Bogen Multicom is a vintage school communication system. Although it is not the design or configuration that we use, the Bogen Multicom *can* be configured to function as a stand-alone intercom or a public address system. If the system *were* to be configured as an intercom only or public address system, the item 21 list of components used would reflect this. We feel it is likely that a person not familiar with the program could have easily and innocently used the wrong language and inadvertently represented the system in such a way so as to give the impression that it is just an intercom or PA system.

We hope that this overview will give a proper perspective on the information we are providing below in response to the COMAD and that you will decide to reverse USAC’S decision to deny us the funds already paid to our company.

1 -The COMAD in the section titled “Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation” described the reason for the COMAD as being “After a thorough review, it was determined that the funding commitment for this request must be reduced by..... During a review, it was determined that funding was provided for the following ineligible item; Bogen Quantum Multicom equipment FCC rules provide that funding may be approved only for eligible products and/or services.”

The paragraph continues with information as to how determine if a product and/or service is eligible; it states “The USAC web site contains a list of eligible products and/or services. See the web site, www.usac/sl/about/eligible-services-list.aspx for the Eligible Services List”. As of this writing the URL referred to above is no longer available, however the Bogen Quantum Multicom in question was listed as an eligible product on the Schools and Libraries Web Site. (See attached screens shots of the Web Site for verification.) In addition, even according to the generic Eligible Service List, the Bogen Quantum Multicom qualifies as an eligible product as a “Private Branch Exchange (PBX)” and as a “Key System”. As per the Eligible Services List in the “Internal Connections” section Page 15 it states (emphasis added): “Centralized components that are an essential element in the transport of telephone services within a school or library *are eligible*. This includes:

Private Branch Exchange (PBX)

Key System (KSU)

Wireless

VoIP Telephony Equipment

In addition, the following features are eligible:

Automatic Route Selection

E911

Voice Compression Module

Voice Interface Card

One switchboard/attendant console necessary for the operation of Eligible Centrex telephone service is eligible.

An intercom system that is an integral component of a PBX or Other eligible product can be included in the cost of the eligible component”

The Bogen PBX Expansion (Bogen Multicom) functioning as a “Private Branch Exchange (PBX)” or as a “Key System” provides telephone services (to the Public Switched Network and for internal use) is therefore an eligible product.

During the review process we, as well as our colleagues who have also received COMAD notifications, were questioned regarding other possible reasons that the Bogen Quantum Multicom may have been considered as an ineligible product based on its specific use as opposed to it being inherently ineligible. In the event that the COMAD failed to name any of these other reasons we shall pose them now and respond to them in order to avoid potential complications of the appeal process.

A. Possible Claim: The Bogen PBX Expansion Unit is being used as an Intercom which is an ineligible product.

Based on our design and installation, the Bogen PBX Expansion Unit is not being used as an (ineligible) intercom. The Bogen PBX Expansion Unit provides the eligible Private Branch Exchange (PBX) functionality as described in the Eligible Products List, Internal Connections section, page 41 in that it provides “a centralized telephone switching system located at a business or organization site. The PBX provides station-to-station dialing and access to the public switched network”. As indicated above, station-to-station dialing is an eligible function of the PBX. In addition, as stated above, as per the Eligible Services List in the “Internal Connections” section Page 15

“...An intercom system that is an integral component of a PBX or other eligible product can be included in the cost of the eligible component”.

- B. Possible Claim: Where the Bogen PBX Expansion Unit is being used in conjunction with or integrated with another PBX, the Bogen PBX Expansion Unit is Redundant.*

The only reference to the word “redundant” in the Eligible Items List is in the “Internal Connections” section, page 17 where it lists components that are ineligible, it states “Components that are installed in standby mode, redundant, not active and online, or otherwise not an essential element in the transmission of information within the school or library”. The Bogen PBX Expansion Unit provides telephone services (to the Public Switched Network and for internal use) and is therefore an “essential element in the transmission of information within the school or library” therefore maintaining its status as an eligible product. The Bogen PBX Expansion Unit is active and online at all times and the locations within the school where the Bogen PBX Expansion Unit provides telephones services are not overlapped by the other PBX, therefore there is no redundancy.

- C. Possible Claim: Where the Bogen PBX Expansion Unit is being used in conjunction with or integrated with another PBX the Bogen PBX Expansion Unit is not Cost Effective.*

The Bogen PBX Expansion Unit is typically used to provide telephone services for those locations within the school that require single line telephones. Depending on the specific installation, the total installation cost of using the Bogen PBX Expansion Unit for the single line telephones combined with another PBX will be similar to the cost of expanding the other PBX to accommodate the capacity required to provide single line telephone services for the locations that the Bogen PBX Expansion Unit is providing. In addition, the benefit of a more rugged system which was specifically designed for school and in particular classroom use adds additional value. The higher reliability combined with the fact that the cost of the system component cards and in particular the station cards for the Bogen Multicom are typically less expensive than those of the “primary” PBX makes maintenance and replacement costs lower, further enhancing the cost effectiveness consideration. Therefore, based on the costs being similar, and the existence of additional value and lower maintenance costs, the Bogen PBX Expansion Unit being used in conjunction with or integrated with another PBX is exceptionally cost effective.

- 2- After having defined the reason for the determination that a COMAD was required, the “Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation” paragraph of the COMAD notification then explains from who USAC will seek recovery and why.

The “Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation” says as follows; “On the SPAC Form, the authorized person certifies at Item 10 that the service provider has billed its customer for services deemed eligible for support. Therefore, USAC has determined that the service provider is responsible for this service violation. Accordingly, the commitment has been reduced by.... and USAC will seek recovery of any improperly disbursed funds from the service provider”

On the SPAC Form, the full text of item 10 is:

“10. The Service Provider Invoice Forms that are submitted by this service provider contain requests for universal service support for services which have been billed to the service provider’s customers on behalf of schools, libraries, and consortia of those entities, as deemed eligible for universal service support by the fund administrator.”

Paragraph 10 clearly states that the determination of eligibility is the responsibility of the fund administrator. The service provider is certifying that he is billing for items that have been determined by the fund administrator to be eligible. Based on the information provided above, the Bogen Quantum Multicom was deemed eligible by the system administrator as evidenced by the fact that the items were listed on the website as eligible and the items by form and function meet the requirements of the generic Eligible Items List. In addition, the application in which the Bogen Quantum Multicom was included was reviewed through the Program Integrity Insurance (PIA) procedure and deemed eligible. All the items were clearly listed on the Item 21 Attachments which were thoroughly reviewed by the PIA so there can be no argument that items known to be ineligible were included and were only discovered during the subsequent review. Furthermore, the Bogen PBX Expansion Unit (Bogen Multicom) has been reviewed by PIA and approved for funding by the program for over 13 years.

Even if the program administrator were to conclude, for reasons that this writer cannot envision, that the Bogen Quantum Multicom should be considered ineligible, that would constitute a change in its status. It would be unreasonable to expect the Service Provider to make a determination of ineligibility that the program administrator himself had not done after over 13 years of review.

Based on this, the Service Provider acted correctly when installing and billing for the Bogen Quantum Multicom and was not responsible for any violation of program rules.

3- Conclusion:

- a. The Bogen Quantum Multicom, as designed and installed, is an eligible product so there is no basis for the denial.
- b. At the time of billing the Bogen Quantum Multicom was reviewed and concluded to eligible by the fund administrator so the Service Provider did not violate any program rules.

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
March 31, 2016
Page 7

c. If, for any reason, the Bogen Quantum Multicom is still considered to be ineligible, after the FCC review, because of redundancy, the entire FRN should not have to be reduced. The installation charges, wire runs, and other components of the system connecting the telephones in the classrooms to the original PBX system would in any case not be considered redundant since they would have been considered a necessary part of the classroom installation. Therefore, the funding for these eligible components should not be rescinded as they would have been funded anyway. The only part of the system that might have been considered redundant would be the main PBX expansion unit of the Multicom Quantum Processor.

We therefore request that you reverse the denial that USAC has set into motion and grant us our appeal.

Thank you for your consideration and attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

HASHOMER ALARM SYSTEMS, INC.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Peretz Klein", is written over a horizontal line.

Peretz Klein, President

PK:srk
Encs.